- (iii) Any action not in paragraph (a) (1) or (3) of this section.
- (3) Actions normally not requiring assessments or EIS's (categorical exclusions):
- (i) Approval of Title III State planning grants.
- (ii) Adoption, approval or transmittal or priorities reports.
- (iii) Preparation of the National Water Assessment.
- (iv) Recommendations to the President with the respect to Federal policies and programs, except for transmittal of plans described in paragraph (a) (1) or (2) of this section for which the original EIS or Environmental Assessment (EA) will be transmitted with the plan. A second EIS is not required.
- (v) Framework studies and assessments and special studies which do not include recommendations for future actions.
- (b) Where the presence of extraordinary circumstances indicates that an action normally excluded may have a significant environmental effect, the appropriate RFO shall independently determine whether an EIS or an environmental assessment is required.

§707.9 Tiering.

In accordance with the CEQ NEPA regulations 40 CFR 1502.4(d) and 1508.28(a), this NEPA rule emphasizes the use of tiering to relate broad and narrow actions. The level of detail in EIS's and EA's prepared by RBC's, WRC interagency committees or WRC coordinating committees will reflect the level of detail in the plans, particularly the comprehensive and policy nature of comprehensive, coordinated, joint plans or elements or revisions thereof. These EIS's are not intended to substitute for individual statements on individual projects as more detailed planning and analysis will be required for major Federal actions proposed in these plans. The "policy" or "over-view" EIS should serve as the framework and introduction for a more sitespecific project EIS developed by the implementing Federal agency. Environmental impact statements for regional water resource management and Level B plans will generally address the items in the recommended format (40 CFR 1502.10) on the basis of water

and related land resources of an entire region or river basin. This is the level of consideration at which the environmental issues and considerations are most relevant to decisionmaking. They may also address groups of interrelated or individual plan elements where these involve significant environmental considerations.

§ 707.10 Scoping.

Scoping will be used to determine the extent of issues to be addressed by the EIS and to identify significant issues related to the proposed action. Scoping will be conducted as described by the CEQ NEPA regulations, §§1501.7 and 1508 25

§ 707.11 Environmental information.

Interested persons may contact the Director, U.S. Water Resources Council, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, for information regarding the Council's compliance with NEPA.

PART 708—UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN COMMISSION: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN UPPER MIS-SISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM MASTER PLAN

Sec.

708.1 Definitions.

708.2 Scope.

708.3 Policy, objectives, and standards.

708.4 Required programs and reports.

708.5 Program objectives implementation.

AUTHORITY: Title II, sec. 204, Pub. L. 89-80, Water Resources Planning Act of 1965; Title I, sec. 101(b), Pub. L. 95-502, Inland Waterways Authorization Act of 1978.

Source: 44 FR 14537, Mar. 13, 1979, unless othewise noted.

§ 708.1 Definitions.

As used in the part, the term:

- (a) Act means the Inland Waterways Authorization Act of 1978, Pub. L. 95–502.
- (b) Commission means the Upper Mississippi River Basin Commission, with headquarters at Fort Snelling, Twin Cities, Minnesota.
- (c) Master Plan means the Upper Mississippi River System Comprehensive Master Management Plan mandated by Title I of the Act.